

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

GORDON METAL CO. v. KINGAN & CO., Limited, et al.

March 16, 1922.

[111 S. E. 99.]

Railroads (§ 81\*)—"Reserve" from Operation of Deed Held Equivalent to Exception.—A railway company's deed was made subject to reservation of rights belonging to a railway company for maintenance of its tracks upon the land and the rights growing out of an agreement with a manufacturer for use of the side track passing through the land conveyed. Held, under Code 1919, §§ 5149, 5512, that by use of "reserve" the grantor intended to withhold something from the grantee, and by reserving from the operation of the deed the rights of the railway and lessee the grantor intended to except from the deed and retain to itself the rights in relation to the contracts.

[Ed. Note.—For other definitions, see Words and Phrases, First and Second Series, Reserve—Reserved. For other cases, see 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 437.]

Error to Law and Equity Court of City of Richmond.

Action by the Gordon Metal Company against Kingan & Co., Limited, and another. From judgment for defendants, plaintiff brings error. Affirmed.

S. A. Anderson and Smith & Gordon, all of Richmond, for plaintiff in error.

Geo. Bryan, A. W. Patterson, and Thos. B. Gay, all of Richmond, for defendants in error.

## JENKINS v. COMMONWEALTH.

March 16, 1922.

[111 S. E. 101.]

1. Criminal Law (§§ 308, 351 (3), 552 (1)\*)—Flight Raises No Presumption of Guilt, but May Be Considered by Jury and Given Such Weight as They Deem Proper.—In a prosecution for maiming, where the evidence showed that immediately after the shooting accused ran away to another county, an instruction that the flight of a person after the commission of a crime raised a presumption of guilt was incorrect, as such flight is merely evidence, tending to show guilt, to be considered by the jury, and given such weight as they deem proper in connection with other facts and circumstances.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 86.]

2. Criminal Law (§§ 741 (6), 782 (4)\*)—Defendant's Explanation of Flight a Jury Question; and Court Should Instruct on Manner of

<sup>\*</sup>For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.